

To: Beeler, Cindy[Beeler.Cindy@epa.gov]
Cc: Rothery, Deirdre[Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov]; Morales, Monica[Morales.Monica@epa.gov]
From: Smith, Claudia
Sent: Tue 9/15/2015 4:38:40 PM
Subject: FW: Approaches to Uinta Basin FIP

FYI

From: Sheila Vance [mailto:svance@utah.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2015 9:43 AM
To: Smith, Claudia
Subject: Re: Approaches to Uinta Basin FIP

Thank you for clarifications! Makes more sense now and will help our discussions. At our meeting today I will look at folks schedules and get you a couple options for a call next week. Thanks for quick response!

Sheila

On Tue, Sep 15, 2015 at 8:46 AM, Smith, Claudia <Smith.Claudia@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi, Sheila,

I made a few edits to more accurately reflect the options we are considering. Based on what we heard from you all in our call last week, it sounds like Option 1 is the most consistent with what UT is already doing and plans to do. It is our preferred option as well.

Let me know if there are any days next week that would work for your staff to have a call with our staff to discuss approaches and come to consistency. Wednesday the 23rd would be a bad day for us, because we have the public hearing for the suite of proposed oil and natural gas rules.

Thanks,

Claudia

From: Sheila Vance [mailto:svance@utah.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2015 7:30 AM
To: Ostendorf, Jody; Smith, Claudia
Subject: Approaches to Uinta Basin FIP

Jody and Claudia - we are have an internal meeting today to go over thoughts about the next steps in the Basin and I just put a quick summary of what I believe I heard EPA state as 4 possible options for a FIP. Nothing fancy, just discussion points, so hoping you could give these a quick look to make sure I captured the essence of the 4 proposed options you all are thinking of? Our meeting is at 3 this afternoon, sorry for short notice and thanks for any time you can give to take a look!

Sheila